Amendments to the Claims:

The following listing of claims will replace all prior versions and/or listings of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-65 (Cancelled).

66. (Previously presented): A method, comprising:

providing at least two fraud potential indicators for a request, wherein at least two of the fraud potential indicators are assessed using at least two fraud potential detection techniques;

displaying a score or a rank for at least two of the fraud potential indicators simultaneously in a graphical user interface, wherein the displayed fraud potential indicators for the request are each assessed using a different fraud detection technique; and

referring the request for review if at least one fraud potential indicator exceeds a threshold value, wherein the threshold value is adjusted to control the number of requests with at least one fraud potential indicator exceeding the threshold value.

67. (Previously presented): The method of claim 66, wherein clicking on at least one of the displayed fraud potential indicators for the request displays information about the request.

68. (Cancelled)

69. (Previously presented): The method of claim 66, wherein the request is an insurance claim, the method further comprising displaying a plurality of insurance claims, wherein the insurance claims are organized into lists according to referred claims, assigned

claims, and rejected claims, and wherein selecting a graphical component respective to referred claims brings up a list of referred claims, wherein selecting a graphical component respective to assigned claims brings up a list of assigned claims, and wherein selecting a graphical component respective to rejected claims brings up a list of rejected claims.

70.   (Previously presented): The method of claim 69, further comprising changing a criterion about which claims to display by selecting a filter graphical component.

71.   (Original): The method of claim 66, further comprising assigning at least one request by selecting an assigned graphical component.

72.   (Original): The method of claim 66, further comprising rejecting at least one request by selecting a reject graphical component.

73.   (Original): The method of claim 66, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises predictive modeling.

74.   (Original): The method of claim 66, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises at least one identity search of insurance claim data.

75.   (Original): The method of claim 66, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises assessing request data using at least one business rule.

76.   (Previously presented): A system, comprising:
a CPU; and
a memory coupled to the CPU, wherein the memory is configured to store at least one computer program executable by the CPU, and wherein at least one computer program is

executable to:

assess at least two fraud potential indicators for a request from the memory, wherein at least two of the fraud potential indicators are assessed using at least two different fraud potential detection techniques;

display a score or a rank for at least two of the fraud potential indicators simultaneously in a graphical user interface coupled to the CPU, wherein the displayed fraud potential indicators for the request are each assessed using a different fraud detection technique; and

refer the request for review if at least one fraud potential indicator exceeds a threshold value, wherein the threshold value is adjusted to control the number of requests with at least one fraud potential indicator exceeding the threshold value.

77.   (Original): The system of claim 76, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises predictive modeling.

78.   (Original): The system of claim 76, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises at least one identity search of insurance claim data.

79.   (Original): The system of claim 76, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises assessing the probability of fraud in request data using at least one business rule.

80.   (Previously presented): A carrier medium comprising program instructions, wherein the program instructions are computer-executable to implement a method comprising:

assessing at least two fraud potential indicators for an insurance claim, wherein at least two fraud potential indicators are assessed using at least two different fraud potential detection techniques;

displaying a score or a rank for at least two fraud potential indicators simultaneously in a

graphical user interface, wherein the displayed fraud potential indicators for the insurance claim are each assessed using a different fraud detection technique; and

referring the request for review if at least one fraud potential indicator exceeds a threshold value, wherein the threshold value is adjusted to control the number of requests with at least one fraud potential indicator exceeding the threshold value.

81.   (Original): The carrier medium of claim 80, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises predictive modeling.

82.   (Original): The carrier medium of claim 80, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises at least one identity search of insurance claim data.

83.   (Original): The carrier medium of claim 80, wherein at least one fraud potential detection technique comprises assessing request data using at least one business rule.

Claims 84-100 (Cancelled).

101.   (Previously presented): A method, comprising:
assessing at least two fraud potential indicators for an insurance claim using at least two of an identity search engine, a predictive model engine, or a business rule engine; and

configuring administrative information for a system to assess at least two fraud potential indicators for an insurance claim.

Claims 102-133 (Cancelled)

134.   (Previously presented): A method, comprising:
assessing at least two fraud potential indicators for an insurance claim using at least two

of an identity search engine, a predictive model engine, or a business rule engine;

simultaneously displaying information about an insurance claim including identifying information for the claim and a score or a rank for at least two fraud potential indicators for the insurance claim; and

displaying a summary information window, the summary information window comprising engine summary information relating the insurance claim for at least one engine used to assign at least one of the at least two fraud potential indicators for the insurance claim.

Claims 135-145 (Cancelled).

146.   (Previously presented): A method, comprising:

assessing at least two fraud potential indicators for an insurance claim using at least two of an identity search engine, a predictive model engine, or a business rule engine;

simultaneously displaying information about an insurance claim including identifying information for the claim and a score or a rank for at least two fraud potential indicators for the insurance claim; and

displaying a summary information window, the summary information window comprising summary information related to a at least one involved entity related to at least one assigned fraud potential indicator, wherein the at least one involved entity comprises an involved organization or an involved vehicle.

Claims 147-158 (Cancelled).

159.   (Previously presented): The method of claim 134, wherein at least one engine used to assign at least one of the at least two fraud potential indicators is a predictive modeling engine, and wherein summary information for the predictive modeling engine includes criteria used to assign the fraud potential indicator to the claim.

160.   (Previously presented): The method of claim 134, wherein at least one engine used to assign at least one of the at least two fraud potential indicators is an identity search engine, and wherein summary information for the identity search engine includes information on at least one match used to assign the fraud potential indicator to the claim.

161.   (Previously presented): The method of claim 134, wherein at least one engine used to assign at least one of the at least two fraud potential indicators is a business rule engine, and wherein summary information for the business rule engine includes information on at least one business rule used to assign the fraud potential indicator to the claim.